



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes October 28, 2020

Applicants please note: The decisions of the Design Review Committee (the Committee) are binding. It is each applicant's responsibility to see that the decisions of the Committee are carried out as stipulated. Any changes or deviations from the Committee's decision, including but not limited to: colors, forms, configurations, materials, assemblies or any other aspects of the approved work shall not be undertaken by the applicant or the applicant's agent unless said changes are approved by the Committee beforehand. Under the terms of City ordinance, any change or deviation from work approved by the Committee constitutes a violation of the ordinance and renders the applicant subject to citation with penalties as prescribed by a city magistrate.

Members Present: Abra Barnes, Ivan Holloway, Lea Ann Macknally, Richard Mauk, Ben Wieseman, Brian Wolfe

Members Absent: Scott Burnett, Creig Hoskins, Sheila Montgomery-Mills, Willie Oliver, Chris Swain

Staff Present: Karla Calvert, Lauren Havard, Paige Largue, Pamela Perry, Tonte Peters, John Sims

Others Present: Phil Amthor, Clark Bailey, Susan Barron, Freeman Blakney, Andrew Bryant, Kyle D'Agostino, Chad Davis, Tara Dorsey, John Giffin, Don Hawes, William Johnson, Meghan McCollum, Keely McCown, Turner McLemore, Pete Prichard, Bill Segrest, Brad Ward, Matt Ward

Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 7:30 a.m. by Chairman Mauk. He stated that the 10/14/20 DRC minutes were ready. Wolfe made a motion to approve the minutes as submitted. Wieseman seconded. The motion carried unanimously.

- I. Name:** Mr. John Giffin (Owner)
Site Address: 313 20th St N (Roly Poly Building)
District: Birmingham Green
Requesting approval for: Paint

Statements: Mr. Giffin stated that he wanted to paint the building. He stated that the building hadn't been painted in about 20 years, and needs a fresh coat. He stated that the main color would be Benjamin Moore "Swiss Coffee", and the trim would be Sherwin Williams "Iron ore." Mauk asked what the building was made of. Mr. Giffin stated that the building was made of concrete. Wolfe asked if the building was currently painted. Mauk said yes.

Motion: Wieseman made a motion to approve this proposal as presented.

Motion seconded by: Wolfe

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes October 28, 2020

- II. Name:** Keely McCown (Contractor's Representative)
Site Address: 1009 31st Street South
District: Highland Park (Local Historic District)
Requesting approval for: Alteration of front porch

Statements: Mauk asked Perry for her report from the LHAC. The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was to Approve with Conditions the design review request for the following reasons:

The proposed addition restores damaged or missing historic detailing (brick piers, patio, original design of portico columns, original design of front door) while introducing a new porch that is sympathetic to the original massing of the house.

The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was based on the following sections of the local historic district's design guidelines:

General Provisions: 3. Additions are permitted if they do not obscure or destroy the original structure. General scale and appearance should be commensurate with the original building.

General Standards for Review: 5. ... if the additions or alterations were removed ...the essential form and integrity of the original building or structure would be unimpaired.

The Local Historic Advisory Committee also voted to request that the following conditions be placed upon this request:

- I) Membrane roof should not be visible from the ground. Provide a residential scale metal drip edge, gravel stop, or similar detail at eave.
- II) Assure proper alignment of column neck and face of frieze board above. Corner columns are located properly but porch structure above is too wide.
- III) Portico and center 2 columns may need to shift forward slightly to keep column base detailing from overlapping existing front edge of brick porch.

The LHAC also made the following findings:

1. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Conforms to the design standards established.
2. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Is compatible with the character of the historic property and the historic district and does not detract from their historic value.
3. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will not detrimentally change, destroy, or adversely affect any significant architectural feature of the resource.
4. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will be compatible with the exterior features of other improvements within the



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes October 28, 2020

District.

Ms. McCown stated that she wanted to rebuild the front porch because it was in severe disrepair. She stated that she wanted to leave the portico in place, and build out from there. It will have a low slope roof. She stated that the historic house would not be changed. Ms. McCown stated that the columns would be restored to the original, and the door would be replaced. The new door will be full glass, divided light, and will be wood. She stated that she wanted to use Benjamin Moore "White Dove" for the siding, the trim and window sashes will be "Roycroft Mist Gray" from Sherwin Williams," the door would be stained. Ms. McCown stated that she agreed with all the conditions set forth by the LHAC.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to approve this proposal, in agreement with the LHAC.

Motion seconded by: Wieseman

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

III.

Name: Mr. Pete Prichard (Architect)

Site Address: 2320 Crest Road

District: Red Mountain Suburbs (Local Historic District)

Requesting approval for: Rear addition, new guardrail, new doors and windows, alteration of front door surround.

Statements: Mauk asked Lague for her report from the LHAC. The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was to approve the design review request for the following reason: The proposed alterations are in keeping with the character of the existing noncontributing structure.

The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was based on the following sections of the local historic district's design guidelines: 111.10.

The LHAC also made the following findings:

1. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Conforms to the design standards established.
2. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Is compatible with the character of the historic property and the historic district and does not detract from their historic value.
3. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will not detrimentally change, destroy, or adversely affect any significant architectural feature of the resource.
4. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will be compatible with the exterior features of other improvements within the



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes October 28, 2020

District.

Mauk asked if this home was a contributing structure, Largue said no. Mr. Prichard stated that he wanted to remove the front transom and add a new wood front door surround, paint the home, replace all the windows and doors, and replace the guardrail on the patio. He also stated that there would be a new small addition. Wieseman asked what color the new guardrail would be. Mr. Prichard stated that it would be glass with a black frame and a wood cap. Wieseman verified that the black frame would match the trim color on the rest of the house. Wolfe asked what color the wood surround on the door would be. Mr. Prichard stated that it would be painted Benjamin Moore “Pearl White” to match the stucco on the home.

Motion: Wolfe made a motion to approve this proposal, in agreement with the LHAC.

Motion seconded by: Barnes

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

- IV. Name:** Mr. Matt Ward (Contractor)
Site Address: 4300 7th Avenue South
District: Avondale Park (Local Historic District)
Requesting approval for: Enclosing screened-in porch with windows

Statements: Mauk asked Largue for her report from the LHAC. The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was to approve the design review request for the following reason: Applicant's plan is in keeping with the architectural integrity of the neighborhood.

The LHAC also made the following findings:

1. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Conforms to the design standards established.
2. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Is compatible with the character of the historic property and the historic district and does not detract from their historic value.
3. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will not detrimentally change, destroy, or adversely affect any significant architectural feature of the resource.
4. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will be compatible with the exterior features of other improvements within the District.

Mr. Ward presented his project to enclose a screened-in porch. He stated that the windows were wood. Wolfe asked what color the windows would be painted. Mr. Ward said black. Mauk asked why the porch wasn't painted to match the house. Mr. Ward



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes October 28, 2020

stated that the Guidelines stated that additions should look like additions and shouldn't blend in with the original historic house.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to approve this proposal as presented, in agreement with the LHAC.

Motion seconded by: Wolfe

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

V. **Name:** Ms. Tara Dorsey (Applicant's Representative)

Site Address: 1330 29th Street North

District: Norwood (Local Historic District)

Requesting approval for: Paint existing brick

Statements: Mauk asked Perry for her report from the LHAC. The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was to deny the design review request for the following reasons:

16-Item L-Paint

"As stated in the Norwood Historic Preservation Plan, previously unpainted brick shall not be painted except in cases where repair has been so extensive as to be visually distracting. The majority of the committee did not feel that the brick was of a condition where cleaning could not be achieved. Painting of the brick is not recommended."

The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was based on the following section of the local historic district's design guidelines: Page 16-Item L-Paint.

1. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Does not conform to the design standards established.

2. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Is not compatible with the character of the historic property and the historic district and does not detract from their historic value.

3. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will detrimentally change, destroy, or adversely affect any significant architectural feature of the resource.

4. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will not be compatible with the exterior features of other improvements within the District.

Ms. Dorsey stated that she wanted to paint the brick on the home. She stated that pressure washing the brick would be impossible because of how porous the brick is, and that the mortar is so fragile. She stated that the homeowner attempted to clean the brick with gentle cleaners, and it didn't work. She stated that the homeowner stated that she



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee
Meeting Minutes
October 28, 2020

wanted to do a lime-wash on the home, and will not damage the existing brick. The lime wash will protect the brick and the mortar. Macknally asked if a structural engineer had inspected the brick. Wolfe stated that the Guidelines don't allow for painting of the brick. Mauk asked if this was a contributing structure. Largue said yes. Macknally asked for a more specific outline for the process of cleaning and lime washing the brick. Wolfe verified that the LHAC approve the repairing and painting of the siding and trim, but denied the painting of the brick.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to approve the painting and repair of the siding, and deny the painting of the brick, in agreement with the LHAC.

Motion seconded by: Wolfe

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

- VI. Name:** Ms. Tara Dorsey (Applicant's Representative)
Site Address: 1426 29th Street North
District: Norwood (Local Historic District)
Requesting approval for: Repairing siding and painting the existing brick

Statements: Mauk asked Perry for her report from the LHAC. Ms. Perry stated that this home had already been painted, prior to LHAC/DRC approval. Regarding the painting of brick, the recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was to deny the design review request for the following reasons:

Page 16-Item L-Paint

“As stated in the Norwood Historic Preservation Plan, previously unpainted brick shall not be painted except in case, where repair has been so extensive as to be visually distracting. Upon arrival to the property, the work requested in the application had already been complete. While the committee understands that the work has already been complete, this work does not conform to the guidelines and cannot be approved.”

The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was based on the following section of the local historic district's design guidelines: Page 16-Item L-Paint.

Regarding the painting of the brick, the LHAC also made the following findings:

1. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Does not conform to the design standards established.
2. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Is not compatible with the character of the historic property and the historic district and does not detract from their historic value.
3. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will detrimentally change, destroy, or adversely affect any significant architectural



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes October 28, 2020

feature of the resource.

4. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will not be compatible with the exterior features of other improvements within the District.

Regarding the repair of the existing siding, the recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was to approve the design review request for the following reasons:

Page 15-Item K-Materials

“Applicant has proposed to repair and paint the existing wood and metal siding. Because the siding is existing and will be repaired, the aluminum siding is approved to stay in place.”

The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was based on the following section of the local historic district's design guidelines: Page 15-Item K-Materials.

The LHAC also made the following findings:

1. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Conforms to the design standards established.
2. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Is compatible with the character of the historic property and the historic district and does not detract from their historic value.
3. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will not detrimentally change, destroy, or adversely affect any significant architectural feature of the resource.
4. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will be compatible with the exterior features of other improvements within the District.

Ms. Dorsey presented her plan to paint the brick and siding of the home. She stated that the brick was painted before DRC approval was obtained. She stated that the brick on the porch is not actual brick, but it is brick veneer. She stated that the brick veneer was not original to the structure. Wieseman asked if Ms. Dorsey had any historic photos. She said no. Wolfe verified that the whole structure had been painted. Ms. Dorsey stated that the door was replaced, but the siding is all existing, and all the windows are original. Macknally asked if the LHAC had commented on any of the other changes to the home. Ms. Dorsey stated that the front door and dormer windows were being replaced, and that this hadn't been presented to the LHAC.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to carry this case over pending more information on the entire proposal, which includes windows and doors.

Motion seconded by: Wolfe



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee
Meeting Minutes
October 28, 2020

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

VII. Name: Ms. Susan Barron (Owner/ Architect)

Site Address: 627 39th Street South

District: Avondale Park (Local Historic District)

Requesting approval for: Rehabilitation Related: Reopen porch and rebuild to match historic photo; remove vinyl siding and repair double ogee siding; repaint; remove storms windows and install hybrid windows; construct small rear addition and deck, construct fence; repoint chimney.

Statements: Mauk asked Largue for her report from the LHAC. The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was to approve the design review request for the following reason: Applicant's plan is in keeping with the architectural integrity of the neighborhood.

The LHAC also made the following findings:

1. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Conforms to the design standards established.
2. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Is compatible with the character of the historic property and the historic district and does not detract from their historic value.
3. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will not detrimentally change, destroy, or adversely affect any significant architectural feature of the resource.
4. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will be compatible with the exterior features of other improvements within the District.

Ms. Barron presented her renovation plans for the home next door to hers. She stated that she wanted to remove the vinyl siding from the home and replace it with wood siding to match the original siding. She stated that the roof would need to be rebuilt. The chimney needs to be repointed. She stated that there would be a 900 square foot addition on the rear of the home. She also stated that the windows would be replaced. Mauk asked what colors the home would be. Ms. Barron stated that the colors would be compatible with the surrounding homes.

Motion: Wolfe made a motion to approve this proposal in agreement with the LHAC.

Motion seconded by: Wieseman

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee
Meeting Minutes
October 28, 2020

- VIII.** **Name:** Ms. Kathy Henderson (Applicant's Representative)
Site Address: 830 Linwood
District: Forest Park (Local Historic District)
Requesting approval for: ~~Installation of solar panels on side and rear of house~~

Statements: This item was removed from the agenda.

- IX.** **Name:** Mr. Chad Davis (Contractor)
Site Address: 4400 6th Avenue South
District: Avondale Park (Local Historic District)
Requesting approval for: Addition to the rear of home; (3) new windows; (1) new door and a deck

Statements: Mauk asked Largue for her report from the LHAC. The recommendation of the Local Historic Advisory Committee was to approve the design review request for the following reason: Applicant's plan is in keeping with the architectural integrity of the neighborhood.

The LHAC also made the following findings:

1. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Conforms to the design standards established.
2. The proposed change, erection, or demolition: Is compatible with the character of the historic property and the historic district and does not detract from their historic value.
3. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will not detrimentally change, destroy, or adversely affect any significant architectural feature of the resource.
4. The proposed erection, alteration, restoration, relocation or demolition, in whole or in part: Will be compatible with the exterior features of other improvements within the District.

Mr. Davis presented his renovation plans. He stated that there will be a master bedroom/bathroom addition. Mr. Davis said that the new siding and windows would match the existing. He stated that the addition would blend in with the original house.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to approve this proposal, in agreement with the LHAC.

Motion seconded by: Wieseman

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

- X.** **Name:** Mr. Kyle D'Agostino (Poole & Company Architects)



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee
Meeting Minutes
October 28, 2020

Site Address: 2225 2nd Avenue North (Avine)
District: 2nd Avenue North
Requesting approval for: Signage

Statements: Mr. D’Agostino presented his plan for signage for a new wine bar. He stated that it fit in with the other signs on the street. He stated that it was a black projecting sign that sits about 8’ off the sidewalk. He also presented the menu holder. Macknally asked if this building needed a master signage plan. Sims stated that this building had a building sign, plus this one tenant. Mauk asked if the signage fit within the Design Guidelines. Sims stated that it did fit within the Guidelines. Macknally asked which signage option that Mr. D’Agostino wanted to go with, he stated that he wanted option 1.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to approve this proposal as presented, with window film #1. She stated that if future tenants come into this building and want signage that they will need a multi-tenant signage package.

Motion seconded by: Barnes

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

XI.

Name: Mr. Kyle D’Agostino (Poole & Company Architects)
Site Address: Between 14th & 12th St. South & 1st & 2nd Ave. South (Urban Supply District)
District: Midtown
Requesting approval for: Schematic Design approval of Streetscape, Alleyscape, and Elevations of Buildings minus signage.

Statements: Mr. D’Agostino presented his plan for a new Urban Supply district within the Parkside District. He stated that he was seeking schematic design approval of the streetscape, hardscape, and softscape of this area. Mr. D’Agostino showed the connectivity and importance of this project. Mr. Bailey showed the connection with the Jones Valley Trail System. He stated that there would be a multi-use path buffered by parallel parked cars and landscaping. Wolfe asked if the storm water issues had been addressed. Mr. Bailey said they were working on them, and they would be solved. Macknally asked if Mr. D’Agostino was seeking conceptual approval. He said yes. Mr. D’Agostino stated that the streetscapes would all be renovated to meet the Parkside Guidelines. He also discussed the façade improvements, but stated that no façade materials would change. They would just be cleaned and renovated, but wouldn’t change. Sims asked if there would be any demolition on this project. Mr. D’Agostino stated that there would be no demo at this time.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to approve the building facade improvements for



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes October 28, 2020

final approval.

Motion seconded by: Mauk

Discussion: Wieseman stated that a master signage plan would need to be presented at the next stage to see how they interact with the facades.

Vote: The motion carried.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to approve the site work and streetscapes conceptually. She stated that all materials, keys, dimensions, planting plans and site lighting would need to be presented at a later meeting. She also stated that the current plan is in conflict with the Parkside Guidelines, so those would need to be resolved.

Motion seconded by: Wolfe

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

- XII.** **Name:** Mr. Turner McLemore (Williams Blackstock Architects)
Site Address: 2114 4th Avenue South (Thuss Building)
District: Midtown
Requesting approval for: Parking Lot Addition

Statements: Mr. Segrest presented his plan for a parking lot addition of a previously approved plan. There will be new parking lot islands, and new landscaping and irrigation for this addition. Mr. Johnson presented his landscaping plan. Macknally asked for a faster growing evergreen for the parking lot evergreen hedgerow. Mr. Johnson stated that he would make those changes. Macknally stated that she only saw two interior parking lot lights. Mr. Segrest stated that the poles would be 25' square poles. He stated that the lights would be LED, and that these would meet the site lighting requirements.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to approve this proposal on the condition that the landscaping substitution be made, and the site lighting cut sheet be added to the submission packet and to be reviewed by staff.

Motion seconded by: Wieseman

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

- XIII.** **Name:** Mr. Freeman Blakney
Site Address: 2421 2nd. Ave. North (Massey Building)
District: 2nd Avenue North
Requesting approval for: Rooftop Shed

Statements: Mr. Blakney presented his plan to add a rooftop shed with a roof to cover a stair tower for rooftop access for the residents of the building. He stated that there



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee
Meeting Minutes
October 28, 2020

would be an 8'x 12' pressure treated wood deck. He stated that the shed at the top of the stair tower would be stick built, and it would have metal siding and a metal roof. Mauk asked what colors he would be using. Mr. Blakney stated that the roof would be a burnished slate color, similar to bronze and the walls would be gray. Mr. Blakney stated that there wouldn't be a fence, but there would be a steel and cable guardrail. Sims stated that Mr. Blakney was presenting for conceptual approval. Wieseman stated that this building was in a historic district, and is a contributing structure. Macknally stated that architectural drawings would be needed for a conceptual approval.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to carry this case over pending more information.

Motion seconded by: Holloway

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

XIV.

Name: Mr. Andrew Bryant

Site Address: 2403, 2405, 2407, & 2409 Second Avenue North (Space One Eleven)

District: 2nd Avenue North

Requesting approval for: Signage/Master Signage Plan

Statements: Mr. Bryant presented his master signage plan for Space 111. He stated that the master plan addressed the existing signage as well as future signage for the building. He presented his site plan and elevations to show the five different types of signs. He stated that there would be a marquee sign and retail building signs. Mauk asked if the middle panel would be blank. Mr. Bryant said yes. Mauk stated that the telephone numbers would need to be removed from the signage. Macknally asked if the stand-offs for the bar signage would be visible. Mr. Bryant said no, that they would be concealed. She also stated that the bar signs needed to be aligned and needed to be above the header-course.

Motion: Wieseman made a motion to approve this proposal as presented, on the condition that Macknally's suggestions be heeded, and all the telephone numbers be removed.

Motion seconded by: Macknally

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

XV.

Name: Ms. Meghan McCollum

Site Address: 421 Richard Arrington Jr. Blvd. South (Legacy of Hope)

District: Midtown

Requesting approval for: Mural

Statements: Ms. McCollum presented a plan for a mural on Richard Arrington Jr. Blvd.



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes October 28, 2020

South. This mural is meant to be interactive and is to educate people about organ donation. She stated that the mural would be of angel wings. She also stated that the mural would contain a QR code. The company that hired her is the Alabama Organ and Tissue Donation Alliance. She stated that the mural would be 17'6" wide and 11' tall. Wieseman asked what colors she would use. She stated she would use jade blue and a deep blue palette.

Motion: Wieseman made a motion to approve this proposal as presented, with the color palette to be in keeping with the presented palette.

Motion seconded by: Holloway

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

- XVI.** **Name:** Ms. Candice Watson (Brand Resource Inc.)
Site Address: 3029 2nd Avenue South
District: Lakeview
Requesting approval for: Signage

Statements: Mr. Don Hawes presented his master signage plan for the KMS building. His signage would include a projecting sign, channel letters mounted on the awning, a wall painting, and vinyl door graphics. He also stated that the telephone number has been removed from the signage. Wieseman asked if the signage fit within the Design Guidelines. Sims said yes. Wieseman asked Mr. Hawes to update the master signage plan to state that sign type 2 will only be channel letters mounted to the canopy. Wolfe verified that on sign type 3 is only a painted wall sign.

Motion: Wieseman made a motion to approve this master signage plan, on the condition that sign 3 be centered between the corner and the column relief, and do not conflict with the brick relief, and on Sign 2, the Master signage plan should reflect that the letters will be mounted to the canopy.

Motion seconded by: Wolfe

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

- XVII.** **Name:** Mr. Brad Ward (The Sign Shop, LLC)
Site Address: 7834 1st Avenue North (Stephen Plumbing)
District: Eastlake
Requesting approval for: Signage

Statements: Mauk asked if this signage fit within the Guidelines. Sims said yes. Sims stated that the applicant had already agreed to remove the telephone number from the sign. Mr. Ward stated that the sign would be a polymer, and would be non-illuminated.



City of Birmingham Design Review Committee Meeting Minutes October 28, 2020

Mauk asked if the fence would be removed. Mr. Ward said no. Macknally asked what the size of the sign would be. Mr. Ward stated that the sign would be 5'x16', and would not change with the removal of the telephone number.

Motion: Macknally made a motion to approve this signage, with the telephone number removed, on the condition that the sign is centered horizontally and vertically in the sign band.

Motion seconded by: Wieseman

Discussion: none

Vote: The motion carried unanimously.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 9:35 a.m.